

UNCLASSIFIED~~SECRET//NOFORN//TK-1~~

HEADQUARTERS
 COMBINED JOINT TASK FORCE SEVEN
 BAGHDAD, IRAQ
 APO AE 09335

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

CJTF-7-CG

12 OCT 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR

C2, Combined Joint Task Force Seven, Baghdad, Iraq 09335
 C3, Combined Joint Task Force Seven, Baghdad, Iraq 09335
 Commander, 205th Military Intelligence Brigade, Baghdad, Iraq 09335

SUBJECT: CJTF-7 Interrogation and Counter-Resistance Policy

1. (~~SECRET~~^U) This memorandum establishes the interrogation and counter-resistance policy for security internees under the control of CJTF-7. Security internees are civilians who are detained pursuant to Articles 5 and 78 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of August 12, 1949 (hereinafter, Geneva Convention).
2. (~~SECRET~~^U) I approve the use of specified interrogation and counter-resistance approaches A-Q, as described in Enclosure 1, relating to security internees, subject to the following:
 - a. (~~SECRET~~^U) Use of these approaches is limited to interrogations of security internees under the control of CJTF-7.
 - b. (~~SECRET~~^U) These approaches must be used in combination with the safeguards described in Enclosure 2.
 - c. (~~SECRET~~^U) Segregation of security internees will be required in many instances to ensure the success of interrogations and to prevent the sharing of interrogation methods among internees. Segregation may also be necessary to protect sources from other detainees or otherwise provide for their security. Additionally, the Geneva Convention provides that security internees under definite suspicion of activity hostile to the security of Coalition forces shall, where absolute military necessity requires, be regarded as having forfeited rights of communication. Accordingly, these security internees may be segregated. I must approve segregation in all cases where such segregation will exceed 30 days in duration, whether consecutive or nonconsecutive. Submit written requests with supporting rationale to me through the CJTF-7 C2. A legal review from the CJTF-7 SJA must accompany each request.
 - d. (~~SECRET~~^U) In employing each of the authorized approaches, the interrogator must maintain control of the interrogation: The interrogator should appear to be the one who controls all aspects of the interrogation, to include the lighting, heating and configuration of the interrogation room, as well as the food, clothing and shelter given to the security internee.

~~SECRET//NOFORN//TK-1~~**UNCLASSIFIED**

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET//NOFORN//X-1~~


CJTF7-CG

SUBJECT: CJTF-7 Interrogation and Counter-Resistance Policy

- 3. (~~SECRET~~^U) Requests for use of approaches not listed in Enclosure 1 will be submitted to me through CJTF-7 C2, and will include a description of the proposed approach and recommended safeguards. A legal review from the CJTF-7 SJA will accompany each request.
- 4. (~~SECRET~~^U) Nothing in this policy limits existing authority for maintenance of good order and discipline among persons under Coalition control.
- 5. (~~SECRET~~^U) This policy supersedes the CJTF-7 Interrogation and Counter-Resistance Policy signed on 14 September 2003.
- 6. (~~SECRET~~^U) POC is [REDACTED], DNVT [REDACTED], DSN [REDACTED]

2 Encls

- 1. Interrogation Approaches (SI)
- 2. General Safeguards


RICARDO S. SANCHEZ
 Lieutenant General, USA
 Commanding

CP: Commander, US Central Command

2
~~SECRET//NOFORN//X-1~~

UNCLASSIFIED

~~SECRET//NOFORN//X-1~~ INTERROGATION APPROACHES (Security Internees)

UNCLASSIFIED

^U(S//NF) Use of the following approaches is subject to the application of the general safeguards provided in enclosure (2). Specific implementation guidance with respect to approaches A-Q is provided in U.S. Army Field Manual 34-52. Brigade Commanders may provide additional implementation guidance.

A. ^U(S//NF) Direct: Asking straightforward questions. The most effective of all approaches, it is the most simple and efficient approach to utilize.

B. ^U(S//NF) Incentive/Removal of Incentive: Providing a reward or removing a privilege, above and beyond those required by the Geneva Convention. Possible incentives may include favorite food items, changes in environmental quality, or other traditional or regional comforts not required by the Geneva Convention.

C. ^U(S//NF) Emotional Love: Playing on the love a security internee has for an individual or group. May involve an incentive, such as allowing communication with the individual or group.

D. ^U(S//NF) Emotional Hate: Playing on the genuine hatred or desire for revenge a security internee has for an individual or group.

E. ^U(S//NF) Fear Up Harsh: Significantly increasing the fear level in a security internee. F. (S//NF) Fear ,Up Mild: Moderately increasing the fear level in a security internee.

G. ^U(S//NF) Reduced Fear: Reducing the fear level in a security internee or calming him by convincing him that he will be properly and humanely treated.

H. ^U(S//NF) Pride and Ego Up: Flattering or boosting the ego of a security internee.

I. ^U(S//NF) Pride and Ego Down: Attacking or insulting the pride or ego of a security internee.

J. ^U(S//NF) Futility: Invoking the feeling in a security internee that it is useless to resist by playing on the doubts that already exist in his mind.

K. ^U(S//NF) We Know All: Convincing the security internee that the interrogator already knows the answers to questions being asked.

L. ^U(S//NF) Establish Your Identity: Convincing the security internee that the interrogator has mistaken the security internee for someone else. The security internee is encouraged to "clear his name."

M. ^U(S//NF) Repetition: Continuously repeating the same question to the security internee during an interrogation to encourage full and candid answers to questions.

N. ^U(S//NF) File and Dossier: Convincing security internee that the interrogator has a voluminous, damning and inaccurate file, which must be corrected by the security internee.

~~SECRET//NOFORN//X-1~~

Enclosure 1

UNCLASSIFIED